UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,314	05/16/2006	Urban Blomberg	P06,0128	8212
26574 SCHIFF HARD	7590 01/22/200 DIN, LLP	9	EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPA	ARTMENT		LLOYD, EMILY M	
6600 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/579,314	BLOMBERG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EMILY M. LLOYD	3736	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this commons. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matt		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 20-37 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 20-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the drawing and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be corrected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/579,314 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to Applicant's 9 October 2008 amendment. The Examiner acknowledges Applicant's amendments to claims 20-24, 26-30 and 32-36. Currently, claims 20-37 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 20-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not appear to describe how to automatically estimate a level of ECG activity in the raw signal, how to automatically electronically estimate a level of EMG activity in the raw signal, and how to automatically electronically determine a weighting factor for a channel depending on the signal-to-noise ratio of that channel.
- 4. Claims 20-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not appear to disclose automatically estimating a level of ECG activity in the raw signal, automatically

Application/Control Number: 10/579,314 Page 3

Art Unit: 3736

electronically estimating a level of EMG activity in the raw signal, and automatically electronically determining a weighting factor for a channel depending on the signal-to-noise ratio of that channel.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 26-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Regarding claim 26-31, it is unclear if an analysis unit is hardware or software. Further, if the analysis unit is software the device claims do not contain any structural limitations.
- 8. Further regarding claims 26-31, it is unclear if the last 7 lines of claim 26 are limitations regarding the analysis unit, each of the channels, or another aspect of the device. Claims 27-31 are rejected as ultimately depending on claim 26.
- 9. Regarding claims 32-37, the claims are indefinite as they do not contain a transitional phrase to delineate the preamble from the body of the claim, and to define the scope of the claim. See MPEP 2111.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

10. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,314 Page 4

Art Unit: 3736

Claims 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. In particular, claims 20-25 are drawn to a process. Under 35 U.S.C. §101 a process must 1) be tied to another statutory class (such as a particular apparatus) or 2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing. The claimed process steps do not transform underlying subject matter. Thus, to qualify as a 35 U.S.C. § 101 statutory process, the claims should positively recite the other statutory class (apparatus or thing) to which it is tied, for example by identifying the apparatus that accomplishes the method steps. See

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/documents/bilski_guidance_memo.pdf

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 20-37 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, and 35 U.S.C. 101 set forth in this Office action.
- 12. Reasons for allowance were provided in the 9 July 2008 Office Action.

Response to Arguments

- 13. The Examiner notes Applicant's incorporation of allowable subject matter into the independent claims.
- 14. Upon further review and in light of the memo regarding *In Re Bilski* cited above, additional rejections were found as discussed above.

Art Unit: 3736

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EMILY M. LLOYD whose telephone number is (571)272-2951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Emily M Lloyd Examiner Art Unit 3736

/EML/

/Max Hindenburg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736